
List of Tables

Table 1.	Auto Ownership Model Results	14
Table 2.	Pre-Mode Choice Model Results (Percent Walk/Bike Trips)	20
Table 3.	Mode Choice Model Results	26
Table 4.	General Employment Data	33
Table 5.	EMPAL Parameters for the Detroit Region (297-Zone System)	35
Table 6.	EMPAL Parameters for the Kansas City Region (377-Zone System)	36
Table 7.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (328-Zone System)	37
Table 8.	Pearson Correlations between Base Year and Lag Year Employment	38
Table 9.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (328 Zones) (1985 Lagged Total Employment Included in Potential Term)	42
Table 10.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (328 zones) (1980 Lagged Total Employment Included in Potential Term)	43
Table 11.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (328 zones) (1980 and 1985 Lagged Total Employment Included in Potential Term)	44
Table 12.	Pearson Correlations between Base Year and Lag Year Employment	45
Table 13.	Calibration Summary for Portland	45
Table 14.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (100-Zone System)	46
Table 15.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (100 zones) (1985 Lagged Total Employment Included in Potential Term)	47
Table 16.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (100 zones) (1980 Lagged Total Employment Included in Potential Term)	47
Table 17.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (100 zones) (1980 and 1985 Lagged Total Employment Included in Potential Term)	48
Table 18.	EMPAL Parameters for Portland Industrial Employment (328 Zones) (Urban Growth Boundary Dummy Variable Included in Potential Term)	50
Table 19.	Aggregate Land Uses	56
Table 20.	Average Residential Density per Zone	56

Table 21.	DRAM Parameters for the Detroit Region (297-Zone System)	58
Table 22.	DRAM Parameters for the Kansas City Region (377-Zone System)	59
Table 23.	DRAM Parameters for the Portland Region (328-Zone System - Corrected Land Use Data)	60
Table 24.	DRAM Parameters for the Portland Region (328-Zone System - Uncorrected Land Use Data)	61
Table 25.	Standard Deviation of Households per Zone	63
Table 26.	ϕ - Goodness-of-Fit (Likelihood Criterion) for DRAM Model	66
Table 27.	DRAM Parameters for the Detroit Region (297 Zones, Lagged Households Included in Additive Term)	67
Table 28.	DRAM Parameters for the Kansas City Region (377 Zones, Lagged Households Included in Additive Term)	68
Table 29.	DRAM Parameters for the Portland Region (328 Zones, Lagged Households Included in Additive Term)	69
Table 30.	Pearson Correlations for Portland Household Data	70
Table 31.	DRAM Parameters for the Portland Region (328 Zones, Lagged Households Included in Potential Term)	74
Table 32.	Standard Deviation of Households per Zone	75
Table 33.	Correlation between 1985 Households per Zone and 1990 Households per Zone	76
Table 34.	DRAM Parameters for the Portland Region (100-Zone System)	77
Table 35.	DRAM Parameters for the Portland Region (100-Zone System with Additive Lag Term)	78
Table 36.	DRAM Parameters for the Portland Region (Low Income Households, 100-Zone System, Three Tests)	80
Table 37.	EMPAL Parameters for the Portland Region (100-Zone System)	84
Table 38.	DRAM Parameters for the Portland Region (100-Zone System, Lagged Households Included in Potential Term)	85